

Kozak, Laura

From: Pederson, Cynthia - R3
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2010 11:18 AM
To: Kozak, Laura
Subject: Re: SOARCA and recent SRA counterpart meeting

Thanks Laura
(sent from Blackberry device)

From: Kozak, Laura
To: Pederson, Cynthia
Cc: Passehl, Dave
Sent: Wed Jul 28 11:15:48 2010
Subject: RE: SOARCA and recent SRA counterpart meeting

Recent SOARCA presentation at SRA counterpart meeting.

From: Pederson, Cynthia
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2010 7:00 AM
To: Kozak, Laura; Passehl, Dave; Valos, Nicholas
Cc: West, Steven; Shear, Gary; Lara, Julio
Subject: RE: SOARCA and recent SRA counterpart meeting

Thanks.

From: Kozak, Laura
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2010 7:32 AM
To: Pederson, Cynthia; Passehl, Dave; Valos, Nicholas
Cc: West, Steven; Shear, Gary; Lara, Julio
Subject: RE: SOARCA and recent SRA counterpart meeting

One concern has been that SOARCA credits certain B5b mitigating strategies (such as RCIC operation w/o DC power) that have really not been reviewed to ensure that they will work to mitigate severe accidents. Generally, we have not even seen licensees credit these strategies in their own PRAs but for some reason the NRC decided we should during SOARCA.

My recollection is that RI SRAs in particular have been vocal with their concerns on SOARCA for several years, probably because Peach Bottom is one of the SOARCA plants.

From: Pederson, Cynthia
Sent: Tuesday, July 27, 2010 4:48 PM
To: Passehl, Dave; Kozak, Laura; Valos, Nicholas
Cc: West, Steven; Shear, Gary; Lara, Julio
Subject: RE: SOARCA and recent SRA counterpart meeting

Thanks.

Jimi's take on it was that the SRAs (or portion thereof) were not onboard with SOARCA. Did you sense a disagreement? Or an opposition to moving ahead with SOARCA?

Thanks,
Cindy

From: Passehl, Dave
Sent: Tuesday, July 27, 2010 3:26 PM
To: Pederson, Cynthia; Kozak, Laura; Valos, Nicholas
Cc: West, Steven; Shear, Gary; Lara, Julio
Subject: RE: SOARCA and recent SRA counterpart meeting

Cindy,

A SOARCA update was discussed at the SRA counterpart meeting last month but the presentation slides were not sent out. We have a call in to get them. There is also supposed to be a report sent to the SRAs for comment but we do not have it yet.

Two points brought up at the meeting for SOARCA to consider is (1) the feasibility of operating RCIC without dc power and (2) consideration of containment delamination such as that found at Crystal River.

One other thing, I do recall SOARCA being discussed during a past RIC and I found the link to the slides for that 2009 meeting. Just click on the handout view or presentation view:

<http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/conference-symposia/ric/past/2009/slides/state-of-art-reactor.pdf>

Hope this helps,

David G. Passehl
Region III SRA
630.829.9872
dave.passehl@nrc.gov

From: Pederson, Cynthia
Sent: Tuesday, July 27, 2010 12:47 PM
To: Kozak, Laura; Passehl, Dave; Valos, Nicholas
Cc: West, Steven; Shear, Gary
Subject: SOARCA and recent SRA counterpart meeting

Hi Folks,

I hope you don't mind me reaching back for your insights. I will be going to the SOARCA Steering Comm meeting tomorrow. I understand from Jimi Yerokun that there was discussion at the recent SRA Counterpart meeting on the subject. Would one of you be able to share the your insights either based on the counterpart meeting or otherwise.

Thanks much,
Cindy

301-415-1284